

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/075,512	02/15/2002	Blake James Nylund	17792	8935
7590 12/16/2004		EXAMINER		
Tyco Technology Resources Suite 450 4550 New Linden Hill Road Wilmington, DE 19808-2952			DOAN, PHUOC HUU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2687	
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan		10/075,512	NYLUND, BLAKE JAMES			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	7	PHUOC H DOAN	2687			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	ugust 2004.				
		action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1, 3-9 and 17-20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11-15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)[10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
V. 0.			. 6			
Attachmen	• •					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/15/02</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Objections

1. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim 18 was depend on the claim 18. Examiner assumed that the claim 18 is depend on the claim 17. Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see Applicant's Remark, filed on dated 08/23/2004, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 10 and 16 under Cromer et al. (US Pub No. 2003/0156558) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Comstock et al. (US Pub No. 2002/0183038).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 10, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Comstock et al. (US Pub No. 2002/0183038)

As to claim 10, Comstock et al. disclose a method of distributing data in a network comprising a plurality of mobile nodes and at least a first fixed node (FIG. 1, col. 2, par. [0019-0021]), wherein at least a portion of the network for communicating with said mobile nodes is wireless (col. 2, par. [0021-0022]), said method comprising the steps of: (1) said mobile nodes issuing requests via said wireless portion of said network for data from said fixed node (col. 4, par. [0032-0033]); (2) responsive to receipt of said requests, said fixed node transmitting said data via said wireless portion of said network to said mobile nodes from which it receives said requests (col. 4, par. [0032-0035]); (3) if a mobile node does not receive said data requested in step (1) from said fixed node (col. 5, par. [0039-0042]), said mobile node issuing a request for said data from other mobile nodes (col. 4, par. [0033-0036]); and (4) if another mobile node receives said request issued in step (3) and has said requested data (col. 3, par. [0024-0025]), said another mobile node transmitting said requested data to said requesting mobile node (col. 4 through col. 5, par. [0033-0042]).

As to claim 16, Comstock et al. disclose the method of claim 10 further comprising a second fixed node wherein said second fixed node cannot communicate directly with said first fixed node (col. 4, par. [0032-0033]), said method further comprising the step of: (6) transmitting data from a mobile node to said second fixed node when said mobile node comes within wireless

transmission range of said second fixed node (col. 4 through col. 5, par. [0033-0041]).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claim 1, 3-9, and 17-20 are allowed.

As to claim 1, Comstock et al. and Brederveld et al., alone or in combination, do not disclose a method of distributing data in a network comprising a plurality of mobile nodes and at least a first fixed node, wherein at least a potion of the network for communicating with said mobile nodes is wireless, said method comprising the steps of: (1) transmitting data via said wireless potion of said network from said fixed node to at least a first of said mobile nodes that is within wireless transmission range of said fixed node; and (2) transmitting said data from said first mobile node to a second of said mobile nodes responsive to said first mobile node coming within wireless transmission range of said second mobile nodes, and (3) before performing step (2), determining if said second mobile node already has said data; wherein step (2) is performed only if said second mobile node does not already have said data.

6. Claims 11-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to claim 11, the prior of record do not disclose the method of claim 10 further comprising the steps of: (5) each said mobile node maintaining a list of data items that are to be synchronized on said nodes of said network.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bahl et al. (US Pub No. 2004/0223469) disclose "System and method for concurrent operation of a wireless device in two disjoint wireless networks".

English et al. (US Pub No. 2003/0036374) disclose "Wireless local area network using impulse radio technology to improve communication between mobile nodes and access points".

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUOC H DOAN whose telephone number is 703-305-6311. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM - 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LESTER G KINCAID can be reached on 703-308-7745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,512

Art Unit: 2687

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuoc Doan

Art Unit: 2687 12/09/04

> LESTER G. KINCAID PRIMARY EXAMINER